



Whitepaper

Was published in: InsuranceERM – Spring 2018

Author

Massimiliano Neri IFRS 17 Leader

Contact Us

Americas +1.212.553.1653

Europe +44.20.7772.5454

Asia-Pacific +852.3551.3077

Japan +81.3.5408.4100

Getting IFRS 17 Implementation right

The worldwide implementation of IFRS 17 is likely to be one of the most disruptive changes to the insurance industry ever.

While it varies from one company to another, and also by region, the initial impact assessments of IFRS 17 by insurers are likely nearing completion. However, this global standard was designed to be principles-based and to apply to all types of insurance. This led to some confusion and challenges in the interpretation of the text and in translating it into practical terms. Significant practical challenges around data, systems and processes are to be expected.

Accounting and financial reporting standards have changed many times in the past. Why is IFRS 17 such a 'revolution' for the insurance industry?

IFRS 17 changes completely the way the insurance industry will report its numbers. At a fundamental level, the way that profits are calculated will change. Also the way that liabilities are calculated and presented will change, because of the addition of the CSM to a more familiar actuarial calculation of the PV of cash flows. The CSM is designed to reflect the remaining unearned profit on a group of contracts and potentially absorb the day 1 gain. That is a significant change in how insurers need to think of managing their profit through time.

Fully understanding the new measurement of profit, and what drives it, will take some time. Analysts will have more information available to review financial performance of a company, which increase pressure and competition. So, there is a lot at stake for insurance companies which need to pay close attention to the implementation of IFRS 17 and to the choices they make during this project. IFRS 17 will impact many departments and functions across organizations including product design, pricing, valuation, and finance. Understanding how to comply and the choice around the design of systems and processes to comply seems, to us, to be one of the major challenges.

Firms should not underestimate the resources that will be required to implement the required changes effectively and meet the deadline. These resources will likely become scarce as the project progresses.

How is Moody's Analytics helping insurance organizations to respond to the IFRS 17 accounting standard?

This new standard connects two worlds within insurance organizations that up until now had limited interactions: the actuarial and accounting departments. The new financial statements under IFRS 17 will require many more components than the actuarial reserves. As an example, the change in liabilities is mostly reflected in the income statement and traditional cash-based accounting determined the rest. Not so anymore under IFRS 17. Going forward, these two worlds will have to be bridged, and they will have to speak a common language.

Moody's Analytics has deep actuarial modeling expertise with an understanding of insurance company structures, data processing requirements, traditional accounting and regulatory reporting compliance, and evolving technology capabilities. We have been spending a significant amount of time over the past 18 months working to understand the problems in some depth. IFRS 17 is like the proverbial iceberg, in that there's a lot below the surface to understand.

We have worked hard at building solutions, and enriching our existing solutions, to address these challenges and to help our customers accelerate their IFRS 17 projects by delivering most of the functionalities out-of-the-box.

Moody's Analytics has a proven track record of providing awardwinning solutions to the insurance industry, including our new IFRS 17 solution, comprised of:

- » An all-in-one, next-generation actuarial solution that can be deployed in the cloud for unmatched performance
- » An IFRS 17 solution that handles natively all the complexities around the IFRS 17 calculations – including reinsurance held, intragroup transaction, and corporate hierarchy – using inputs from life, health and P&C actuarial systems, and can generate posting files for financial systems
- » Scenario generation solutions for market-consistent valuations
- » Advisory capabilities, including research and modeling to address IFRS 17 issues

What is Moody's Analytics offering to ensure an efficient and effective implementation of IFRS 17?

The first beta version of our IFRS 17 solution described above was published in December 2017. This solution can accelerate clients' projects by providing, out-of-the-box sophisticated and flexible capabilities for IFRS 17 calculations and reporting. This calculation engine is available out of the box to pair with any actuarial system.

We don't just provide the software – we also provide related solutions. For example, we offer market calibration services for discount rate and liquidity premia, and we have the capability to do scenario analysis in a more advanced way than what the standard requires, as well as macro-economic scenarios.

Potential synergies between IFRS 9 with IFRS 17 seem to be an important factor of the implementation project. What is your recommendation for insurers?

Insurance companies traditionally do not have assets that are as complex or as exposed to credit risk as banks. So there could be a temptation to set aside IFRS 9 and focus purely on IFRS 17, which is going to be more demanding and disruptive for insurers. Resources for implementing either initiative will be limited. However, our experience implementing IFRS 9 solutions globally tells us that there are benefits to addressing both standards simultaneously, to avoid rework in the future. This is particularly true where the two standards intersect. For example, when looking at participating insurance contracts where components potentially need to be separated and accounted differently.

How can insurers leverage and protect existing Solvency II or actuarial investments for their transition to IFRS 17?

Solvency II imposed significant project costs on European insurers. As a result, firms will want to leverage their Solvency II infrastructure when implementing IFRS 17. While the nature of the information – such as present values or risk adjustments – may appear similar, IFRS 17 and Solvency II have been designed to achieve different goals and require different processes. IFRS 17 also requires actual transaction data, and different granularity of data, discount curve approach, and risk adjustment methodologies. That being said, there are important elements that can be reused, at least partially. Firms may be able to use some of the actuarial solutions they put in place for Solvency II to calculate some of the IFRS 17 building blocks, but probably not all of them. They may also be able to use their existing data warehouses. Perhaps most significantly, firms can leverage their experience dealing with massive and pervasive projects. But in the end, insurers will need to develop and implement many new processes for IFRS 17.

New technologies can have an impact on the implementation of the new standard. How is Moody's Analytics responding to these potential technology requirements from their clients?

IFRS 17 is very demanding in terms of data volumes and performance. Indeed, the time window to produce the balance sheet and P&L is tight. IFRS 17 also introduces extensive new requirements for calculation, transfer, storage and aggregation of a vast amount of data. Insurance organizations often request – and we can provide – solutions in either in a public or internal cloud. Some insurers are also interested in big data capabilities because of the large volume of data they have to process to produce IFRS 17 calculations. Moody's Analytics can also help with this challenge.

We believe that a technological shift is currently underway, and that, even if insurers are not fully ready for it, they will need to grapple with it in the coming years. As a technology provider, we want to help our clients embrace these new enablers and make this transition smoothly.

In conclusion, insurers need to consider taking a holistic approach to adopting the IFRS 17 standard, and ensure that the project has the right level of attention and resources. IFRS 17 will impact organizations at a strategic and a tactical level, and so getting the implementation right is crucial to mitigate both compliance and strategic risk.

To find out more about Moody's Analytics solutions for IFRS 17, visit moodysanalytics.com/IFRS17

CONTACT DETAILS

Visit us at moodysanalytics.com or contact us at a location below.

AMERICAS +1.212.553.1653 clientservices@moodys.com EMEA +44.20.7772.5454 clientservices.emea@moodys.com ASIA (EXCLUDING JAPAN) +852.3551.3077 clientservices.asia@moodys.com JAPAN +81.3.5408.4100 clientservices.japan@moodys.com

© 2018 Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or its licensors and affiliates. All rights reserved.

© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT TO PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE. HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the section 761G of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings). No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.