COVID-19 and Distress in CMBS Markets

Introduction

There is typically a clear chain of events that transfer distress in the overall economy to distress in CMBS markets during economic downturns:

1. Economic activity slows, reflected in job losses and/or a pullback in consumer spending.
2. Tenants occupying multifamily and commercial real estate experience stress as business slows; some go out of business and vacate their space, while others attempt to renegotiate lease agreements.
3. Property net cash flow declines, leading debt service coverage to fall.
4. Borrowers use reserves, additional equity may be brought in, forbearance measures are pursued, and other options are explored to bridge the period of stress.
5. Bridge measures are exhausted and defaults increase. Servicers advance loan P&I payments to CMBS bondholders to the extent that recoverability is credible.
6. Losses and associated bond principal write-downs are incurred relative to the recovery available through liquidation values. Certain bondholders may be impacted by the effect of reduced appraisals in advance of actual liquidation.
7. Credit enhancement of senior bonds deteriorates as losses are incurred.
8. High investment-grade bonds are exposed to greater downside risk as losses breach thresholds.

What makes the COVID-19 crisis different is that distress is unfolding much faster, relative to prior downturns. Steps 1 to 4 typically unfolded over several months during the Great Financial Crisis of 2008-09—now, we have gone through all four steps within four to six weeks, beginning in mid-March, when the World Health Organization formally classified COVID-19 as a pandemic. As of the third week of May, the total balance of CMBS loans in special servicing has reached $32 billion, up $9.6 billion through the May remittance period as of May 19. The composition of distress, however, is not surprising: hotel and retail loans account for 96% of transfers to special servicing since March 1. For perspective, during the Great Financial Crisis, the volume of CMBS loans in special servicing peaked at $92 billion in the middle of 2010—two and a half years after the recession began in December 2007. The speed with which CMBS loans are being transferred into special servicing is unprecedented.

For this paper, Moody’s Analytics collaborated with CWCapital, which provides special servicing, technology, and disposition services across all major asset types, including multifamily, office, retail, industrial, hospitality, and mixed use. Papers have been written about the extraordinary stress the commercial real estate industry as a whole is experiencing, given publicly available data from the CMBS markets. But what is the situation like for a special servicer such as CWCapital? What trade-offs and decisions do they have to make given the kind of relief requests they have been receiving? Will distress become more widespread given the expected duration and depth of this downturn, or will some property types or geographic markets emerge relatively unscathed?
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**Distress, in Fast Forward**

Prior to COVID-19, CWCapital was already noting signs of distress in certain segments of the hospitality sector, particularly limited service hotels in oversupplied markets. ¹ Formal policies had not been declared to shut down large swaths of the economy, but business and personal travel had likely begun to slow. In a paper that Moody’s Analytics published on March 3, the immediate risk to the hospitality sector was identified, given short-term leases and the expected widespread slowdown in trade and tourism. ²

As the crisis has unfolded, updated forecasts from Moody’s Analytics for year-end 2020 reflect this high correlation between geographic areas expected to incur large employment declines and their share of leisure and hospitality jobs. Table 1 below presents ten major markets with the largest forecasted declines in total employment. It is no surprise that Las Vegas tops the list. ³

**Table 1  Top 10 Major Markets with the Largest Projected Declines in Employment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSA</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Forecast Period</th>
<th>Share of Leisure &amp; Hospitality Jobs</th>
<th>Projected Decline in Total Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Las Vegas</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>25.4%</td>
<td>-13.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miami</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>-9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Lauderdale</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>-9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>-9.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
<td>-8.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm Beach</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>-8.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tucson</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>-8.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tampa-St. Petersburg</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>-8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacksonville</td>
<td>FL</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
<td>-8.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seattle</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>9.2%</td>
<td>-7.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Moody’s Analytics

“Given COVID-19 transmission channels, it is no surprise that places with a higher share of leisure and hospitality jobs are expected to be hit harder, in terms of overall employment declines,” says Sohini Chowdhury, Senior Economist at Moody’s Analytics. “Stress on the employment side will also depend on whether a geographic area’s employment base is relatively diversified, or more dependent on a specific sector.” San Francisco, for example, has an 11.8% share of leisure and hospitality jobs relative to total employment—higher than seven of the ten markets in Table 1—but given that tech firms also generate substantial employment in the city, total employment is expected to decline by a relatively modest 7.7%. The tech sector is not expected to be hit as hard by COVID-19 as other industries.

Retail tenants and property managers have also been experiencing severe distress, given mandated store closures and shelter-in-place policies. Major retailers like JC Penney, J. Crew, and Neiman Marcus have filed for bankruptcy. More specialized retailers like Dean & DeLuca (whose geographic focus in New York City has not served it well, given how hard the city has been hit by COVID-19) and Gold’s Gym (which operates over 700 gyms in the United States) have also filed for bankruptcy. ⁴ In two research papers we published on the retail sector, Moody’s Analytics explored how the sector will evolve in the future, and how a large retailer like Macy’s might respond given geographic concentration risk. ⁵

---


³ Two other Nevada markets, Reno and Carson City, actually make it to the top ten list, but they are typically not considered tier one or major multifamily and commercial real estate markets.

⁴ Dean & DeLuca filed for bankruptcy on March 31, and Gold’s Gym filed for bankruptcy on May 4.

⁵ See “The COVID-19 Pandemic and the Retail Debacle” (published on April 3) and “COVID-19 and Retail: A Macy’s Case Study” (published on April 21). Available upon request.
Aside from the disruptions caused by outright store closures and a contraction in consumer and retail spending, the pressure on brick and mortar retail is likely to intensify given the increased shift to online sales. Moody’s Analytics REIS estimates that in the months of March and April alone, the proportion of e-commerce relative to total retail sales spiked by 500 basis points, from 11.4% at the end of 2019 to 16.4% as of the latest figures. The share figure had been rising steadily since the dawn of e-commerce in the late 1990s, but the magnitude of the spike over two months prompted by COVID-19 is historic.

Figure 1  Share of E-Commerce vs Total Retail Sales

To be fair, the 16.4% historic drop in total retail sales in April reduced the denominator significantly, contributing to the rise in the ratio. But the bigger driver was the 20.5% increase in non-store retail sales over the same time period, which includes e-commerce as a significant component. This one-two punch of a massive increase in the numerator and a historic decline in the denominator drove the 500-basis point movement in the ratio presented in Figure 1.

Even with reopening efforts underway, it is unlikely that the hospitality and retail sectors will bounce back swiftly. Moody’s Analytics REIS estimates that hotel RevPAR (revenues per available room) will decline by 22.4% year-over-year in 2020 – an historic drop, with most of the stress concentrated in the second quarter. Retail rents are forecasted to fall by 11% over the same time period – almost twice the magnitude of deterioration recorded throughout all of the Great Financial Crisis from 2008 to 2011. As a result, it is likely that the volume of CMBS loans experiencing distress will continue to rise at least through 2020.
The CWCapital Experience

“It wasn’t a surprise for us that hotel and retail were at the forefront of property types experiencing distress in CMBS markets because of the nature of this downturn,” says Jim Shevlin, CWCapital’s President and Chief Operating Officer. “The speed of this downturn is also something we’re seeing for the first time. The closest period in terms of the severity of pullback in travel would be the months following the 9/11 attacks. But this time, hotel occupancies have dropped to the low 20s, and on a national basis as opposed to only focused markets after 9/11. How can hotel operators be expected to keep up with principal and interest payments? Some have been making partial interest payments, but servicing debt the way they were able to before COVID-19? That’s a tough ask.”

CWCapital has seen relief requests come primarily from retail (44%) and lodging (40%), with much of the rest from office (5%) and mixed use (5%). The loan balance associated with the relief requests is approximately $8 billion, with 7% or $560mm of that transferring to special servicing.

**Figure 2   Share of Relief Requests, by Property Type**

![Pie chart showing distribution of relief requests by property type: Retail 44.0%, Hotel 40.0%, Office 5.0%, Other 11.0%]

Source: CWCapital

These proportions are roughly in line with servicer comments for loans in the CMBS universe that mentioned “COVID-19,” as tracked by Moody’s Analytics.
Some servicer comments are very specific, and are often indicative of whether or not a loan will enter into forbearance at some point. While relief requests are being evaluated, servicer comments may read like the following:

**COVID-19 Relief Requested.** Borrower is working with Lender towards possible solution. Subject property is a 177 room Doubletree Hotel located in [city], TX. Property was built in 1924 and renovated in 2012. DSCR is operating below the 1.20x threshold due to an increase in Operating Expenses, specifically Admin. & General ($337k). Per the 12/31/2019 Financial Statement, YE occupancy was 78% with an ADR of $149.44 and a RevPar of $117.00. Per 12/31/2019 Star Report, comp. set occupancy, ADR, and REVPar were; 75.9%, $159.01, and $120.63, respectively. Lockbox is now active.

Once the request is addressed, the CREFC Loan Modification Report may read:

**New Loan Modification Terms:**

- For a period of 90 days, Borrower can use the Seasonality Reserve, FF&E Reserve, and CapEx Reserve funds, in that specific order, to keep loan current (not tax or insurance escrows)
- Borrower may defer payments to the Seasonality Reserve, FF&E Reserve, and CapEx Reserve accounts (not tax or insurance escrow) for a period of 90 days
- Borrower to replenish the Seasonality Reserve, FF&E Reserve, and CapEx Reserve accounts within 12 months of the expiration of the 90-day access period
- 12-month waiver of covenants (excluding EOD and bankruptcy)

**Moral Hazard.** CWCapital has rejected some 29% of relief requests because after reviewing the request, many were found to have been preemptive: Tenants/borrowers actually had the capacity to pay, but were asking for relief because broad distress in the environment provided an opportunity to do so. “We were always concerned about moral hazard given COVID-19,” said David Salz, Director and Data Strategist for CMBS and Structured Finance at Moody’s Analytics. “If most tenants are going through distress, why shouldn’t every tenant—even ones perfectly capable of meeting their debt obligations—ask for relief?” Special servicers like CWCapital therefore need to evaluate whether or not relief requests are valid, and in the interest of all stakeholders.

**But Who Are the Winners?** With distress concentrated in the hospitality and retail sectors for CMBS loans, it appears that other property types might be better positioned to weather the storm. “We are relatively optimistic about industrial properties,” said Shevlin of CWCapital. “We aren’t seeing much distress come in for CMBS loans supported by industrial properties, and the shift to online retail will likely boost this sector’s fortunes.”

Multifamily is also likely to be hit relatively less hard, given that housing is a basic good, and performance metrics like rent growth and vacancy levels for the rental housing market were strong before the COVID-19 crisis hit. In the CMBS world, however, there appears to be a stark difference between agency multifamily MBS and non-agency multifamily MBS. Figure 4 shows the payment status of agency and non-agency multifamily MBS, where the terms “COVID-19” or “Forbearance” appear in servicer comments. Note how the majority of agency MBS loans are current, but only about half of non-agency MBS loans are.
Figure 4  Agency vs Non-Agency Multifamily MBS

Payment Status for Loans with Servicer Comments Mentioning 'COVID-19' or 'Forbearance'

Source: Moody’s Analytics Structured Finance Portal
Specifically, there are roughly the same amount of agency and non-agency multifamily loans with COVID-19 and/or forbearance in the servicer comments—approximately $2.2 billion. The significant difference is the volume of servicer comments mentioning forbearance: agency multifamily has $1.9 billion in loans mentioning forbearance, with non-agency multifamily reporting $239 million. We believe that this difference may be partially related to standardized forbearance programs offered by agency lenders such as Freddie Mac that are not otherwise available to the non-agency borrowers. In addition, the greater mix, quality, and unique circumstances sometimes associated with non-agency properties, as well as the individual approaches of servicers, could result in fewer uses of the term “forbearance” at this time. As time progresses, the true differences in credit quality between agency and non-agency multifamily will be seen.

Conclusions: What's Next for CMBS?

Further processing of the existing CMBS book will be the central theme in the month of June: there are no issuances planned, given the ongoing uncertainty of the COVID-19 crisis. On the positive side, there may be green shoots from reopening and increased economic activity. On the downside, without a credible, reliable treatment and vaccination protocol made widely available, prospects for a quick return to “normal conditions” are dim, at least for the rest of 2020. More loans are likely to move into special servicing. However, with additional time for borrowers to gather and report better data, there will be better perspective on the road ahead, with greater clarity between servicers and borrowers on next steps such as forbearance or modification.
© 2020 Moody’s Corporation, Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., Moody’s Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, “MOODY’S”). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY’S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY’S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY’S (COLLECTIVELY, “PUBLICATIONS”) MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY’S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY’S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY’S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS (“ASSESSMENTS”), AND OTHER OPINIONS MAY NOT CONSTITUTE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE. MOODY’S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY’S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY’S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY’S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY’S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided “AS IS” without warranty of any kind. MOODY’S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY’S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY’S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY’S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY’S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY’S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY’S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody’s Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody’s Corporation (“MCO”), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from $1,000 to approximately $2,700,000. MCO and Moody’s investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody’s Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes.

Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody’s Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moodys.com under the heading “Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy.”

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY’S’s affiliate, Moody’s Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or the Moody’s Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383369 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to “wholesale clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY’S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a “wholesale client” and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to “retail clients” within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY’S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody’s Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody’s Group Japan K.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody’s Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody’s SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively. MJKK (or MSFJ) (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK (or MSFJ) (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK (or MSFJ) (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.