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CECL is out – now what?
CECL: Current Expected Credit Loss

Impacts Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) and credit loss provision expense.

Generally applies to loans, loan commitments, and “Held To Maturity” securities

Effective 1/1/2020 for SEC registrants

» 1/1/2021 for non-SEC Public Business Entities (PBEs)

» 12/31/2021 for non-SEC non-PBEs
CECL Model: Expected credit losses over life of loan or portfolio

Life of Loan (LOL) loss expectation (pool basis) effectively recorded at origination

Forecast of the future to LOL required

Historic averages of “life of loan” losses
  » Used as starting point for estimates
  » Applied to periods beyond “forecastable future.”
Management Objectives Under CECL

» Size of the ALLL/Available Capital
» Volatility/Predictability of the ALLL
» Communicability/Understandability of the ALLL
Forecasting Life of Loan Loss Rates

Included in current process

New

Historical loss experience + Adj. for past events/current conditions + Forecasts of future = Expected credit losses

Qualitative Factor Analysis
Q Factors Under CECL

To adjust loss rates for the difference between conditions that existed over the Loss Accumulation Period to the Measurement Date end of the contractual term.
Q Factor Impact: 2015

If we adjust the ALLL by 10%...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALLL</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Tax Income</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITAL</td>
<td>.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How sensitivity analysis can be used for CECL compliant impairments
Q Factors: 2006 Interagency Policy Statement

**Portfolio Characteristics**
- Lending Policies (Underwriting)
- Nature/volume/terms of the portfolio
- Concentrations

**Economy and its impact**
- Economic/business conditions
- Value of underlying collateral
- Vol/severity of past due loans, etc.

**Intangibles**
- Experience/ability of mgmt
- Quality of loan review
- Other
Q Factors Under CECL Forecasts

Will we look at Portfolios differently?

Credit Risk
Portfolio Characteristics Under CECL

» Fixed rate loans vs. variable rate
» Length of term
» Maturity date
» Credit rating

What happens if interest rates increase?
CECL Q Factors in Practice

Present & Future
- Economic Conditions
- Past Dues and Ratings
- Collateral Values

History

Econ Impact

Portfolios

Vintage
Migration
PD/LGD

Credit Risk
Q Factor Challenge: Less Detail

Less flexibility

More volatility
Management Objectives Under CECL

» Size of the ALLL/Available Capital
» Volatility/Predictability of the ALLL
» Communicability/Understandability of the ALLL
CECL (Q Factor) Governance

1. Appropriateness of Models/Methods
2. Appropriateness of the segments
3. Availability and sufficiency of quality data
4. Sensitivities and ranges of changes to forecast assumptions
5. Model Validation/Backtesting
How to adjust Q-factors to account for forward looking credit loss estimates
CECL Modeling Solution

A robust CECL modeling solution requires:

» Loss rates and/or internal risk ratings as model inputs

» Lifetime calculation of expected losses until contractual maturity

» Using forecast of economic conditions consistent with assumptions used in other aspects of the business

» Forward looking analysis using scenario forecasts
Forward Looking Impairments Depends Where One is in the Credit Cycle

When incorporating forward looking projections for impairment analysis one needs to account where in the credit cycle we are starting from.

This requires ability to convert from internal ratings/TTC PD to a point in time estimate.

Both industry and regional effects should be accounted for forward looking impairments.
Understanding the Risk Drivers of Impairments is Imperative

Overall CRD | Energy

- **Liquidity** (Cash / Assets)
- **Growth** (Sales Growth)
- **Leverage** (LTD / (LTD + Net Worth))
- **Profitability** (ROA)
- **Debt Coverage** (Cash Flow / Interest Expense)
- **Leverage** (RE / Current Liabilities)

Yearly data from 2006 to 2015 is displayed for each metric, showing trends and changes over the years.
Moody’s Approach to Model CECL Impairments

- The modelling challenges are many, the main problem is how to ensure consistency with Stress Testing, ICAAP and Pricing models.

- Moody's Analytics has data/models covering C&I, CRE, Sovereign, Muni, Project Finance and Retail.

- Design to work with internal ratings or PD/LGD.
American Bankers Association Recommendations on CECL

- Key questions answered in ABA publication - FASB’s Current Expected Credit Loss Model for Credit Loss Accounting (CECL): Background and FAQ’s for Bankers June 2016.

- **Question:** I currently perform stress testing for DFAST. Can I just use my DFAST models?

- **Answer:** CECL could be viewed as a good basis for both DFAST and CCAR testing by banking regulators, and banking regulators might supervise these banks to integrate the models. But while CECL may be a good basis for DFAST and CCAR testing, some current DFAST and CCAR models may not necessarily comply with CECL. This is because DFAST and CCAR testing are based on open books of business in which new loans are being made and existing loans pay off throughout the stress testing period. In contrast, CECL is an estimate of one specific set of loans at a specific date. Therefore, loss forecasting methods maintained by some banks used for DFAST and CCAR purposes may apply annualized loss assumptions used today instead of life of loan assumptions required for CECL.
Key questions answered in ABA publication - FASB’s Current Expected Credit Loss Model for Credit Loss Accounting (CECL): Background and FAQ’s for Bankers June 2016.

Question: My bank already performs forward-looking credit loss estimates. Can I just do what I’ve been doing?

Answer: Currently, historical experience used as a basis for the starting point of an estimate of incurred loss is almost always based on annual charge-off rates. Under CECL, life of loan, or life of portfolio loss experience will be required...Additionally, the application and measurement of adjustments made to historical experience related to qualitative (“Q”) factors will change profoundly under CECL...Q factors are analyzed and quantified in order to adjust historical loss rates for the difference between conditions that existed over the period that historical credit loss rates are accumulated during the process up to the reporting date. With CECL, no longer does that time period stop at the measurement date, but it continues to the end of the contractual term of the loans in the portfolio.
IFRS 9 Staff Paper Guidelines on ECL


» Question: When measuring expected credit losses can entities use one single forward-looking economic scenario, or do they need to incorporate more than one forward-looking economic scenario and, if so, how?

» Answer: Using a single scenario is not sufficient (even the most likely one) – one needs to consider multiple scenarios. The probability of default and the credit loss for a range of different forward-looking scenarios is non-linear, the expected credit losses derived from using a single scenario will not be the same as the expected credit losses determined by taking into account a range of different forward-looking scenarios.
Impairment Calculation using Scenario Analysis

Calculate a weighted average lifetime based on the likelihood of the scenarios

Portfolio and Model Inputs

Macros Scenarios:
1. Macro Scenario 1
2. Macro Scenario 2
3. Macro Scenario 3
\[ \ldots \]
\[ w_1 \]
\[ w_2 \]
\[ w_3 \]
\[ \ldots \]
\[ w_n \]

Impairment Calculation using Scenario Analysis
Measure and managing impairment variability
Impacts of New Accounting Standards Will Be Significant and Profound

IFRS 9 packs bigger punch than Basel changes, say bankers

» Provision levels expected to increase significantly - up to 50%

» Impact on earnings and capital will be very meaningful (both the level and the volatility)

» Pricing and availability of credit will be affected

Earnings Volatility Can Be Consistently Higher

- The earnings volatility under new accounting standards is generally higher.
- Increased likelihood of lower earnings due to correlated defaults and downgrades.
- Accurately accounting for diversification will dampen period over period volatility.
What Drives The Availability of Capital?

Change in Future Required Capital

» Future Risk Weighted Assets will require additional capital as the credit quality and composition of the portfolio changes

Capital Consumed Due to Credit Risk

» The available capital will be impacted by
  – Changes in CECL/IFRS 9 impairments
  – Charge-offs

Available Capital = Current Capital

Change in Future Required Capital + Capital Consumed Due to Credit Risk

Credit Quality Impacts Both
Capital Management is Evolving

Availability of Capital

» Additional capital needs to be set aside as a buffer

» The amount of buffer needed is portfolio specific and dependent on factors such as geographic, sector, asset class, and name concentration

» To efficiently manage the portfolio, institutions need to determine the capital buffer in an economically meaningful way

Earnings Variability

» Stakeholders pay close attention to earnings as it has large impacts on stock prices

» Organizations can:
  – Minimize the portfolio’s earnings variability given a certain level of expected earnings
  – Minimize the chance of a large loss in portfolio earnings
Q&A