Climate Risk Macroeconomic Forecasting

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Why climate change scenarios?

As a result of accumulating evidence regarding global warming, regulators across the world have begun to require financial institutions to provide a self-assessment or to stress-test their balance sheets with respect to climate change risk. For example, the Bank of England’s 2021 Biannual Exploratory Scenario, the Hong Kong Monetary Authority’s 2021 stress test, and the European Banking Authority & European Central Bank’s 2022 stress tests will be based on climate change scenarios. Moody’s Analytics is expanding its capabilities to enable institutions to assess risks posed by climate change.

How it works

The Moody’s Analytics methodological approach builds on the infrastructure around its Global Macroeconomic Model designed for macroeconomic forecasting (see macrofinancial variables in Chart 1). Constructing climate change scenarios starts with a trajectory for carbon dioxide emissions, the necessary policies to reduce these emissions, and the corresponding change in global temperatures. The newly constructed transition mechanism block includes a carbon dioxide tax in the system of simultaneous equations. The enhanced framework is employed to account for the long-term physical risk associated with climate change and then altered to incorporate risks linked to the transition to a carbon-neutral economy. Climate risk variables and assumptions are used to produce a wide range of macrofinancial indicators.

Benefits and features

The methodology is flexible and complementary to climate change forecasting by organizations such as the Network for Greening the Financial System and by regulators. It allows financial institutions to expand existing and regulatory scenarios or create bespoke scenarios based on firm-specific assumptions about temperature pathways and transition trajectories. The Moody’s Analytics Global Macroeconomic Model is well-suited to generating climate change scenarios because of detailed trade and financial links that ensure the consistency of scenarios across countries. The model also generates forecasts for 15,000+ variables consistent with climate change scenarios.
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MOODY’S ANALYTICS CLIMATE RISK SCENARIO FRAMEWORK

Moody’s Analytics incorporates transition and physical risk channels associated with climate change into macroeconomic modelling (see Chart 2). Physical risk refers to the physical consequences of changing climate patterns implied by rising carbon dioxide emissions. Transition risk associated with climate change mitigation policies is embedded in the path for carbon taxes and other policies. This is combined with a financial impact linked to the timeline, according to which asset markets incorporate the climate risk in asset prices. Once the physical, transition and financial impacts are considered, we generate the climate risk scenarios. Moody’s Analytics constructs forecasts of standard economic drivers consistent
with various climate risk assumptions and the corresponding temperature pathways, using its Global Macroeconomic Model hosted on the web platform Scenario Studio.

Transmission channels

Physical risk
- Physical risks can be separated into chronic and acute. There are six primary components of chronic physical risk:
  - Sea level rise
  - Human health effects
  - Heat effect on labor productivity
  - Agricultural productivity effects
  - Tourism effects
  - Energy demand effects

Acute physical risk refers to weather events that could become increasingly frequent or severe because of climate change. There are four primary components of acute physical risk:
  - Heat waves and cold snaps
  - Droughts and wildfires
  - Flooding
  - Tropical cyclones

The overall physical risk effect combines the impact on GDP for all the components of physical risk for each country by temperature over time. The risk components impact the long-term economic projections via real potential productivity, private consumption, exports, and global commodity prices. Both chronic and acute risks can be accommodated.

Transition risk
- The impact of the transition to a zero-carbon economy is triggered by imposing taxes on carbon dioxide for key sources of energy such as coal, natural gas and petroleum. Chart 3 lists the components of the transition risk modelling, in addition to the previously described approach, to take account of the physical risk. The carbon tax rate is imposed exogenously based on assumptions regarding a government’s climate change policy. Prices of coal, natural gas and petroleum depend on the carbon tax rate. Consumption of all three fossil fuels reflects the energy prices. Energy consumption mainly determines the emissions of each of the fossil fuels. Including the carbon dioxide tax also has implications for government finances. Overall tax revenue depends on tax income reflecting the GDP level and the revenue from the carbon tax. Expenditures depend on the carbon dividend dummy as well as the carbon tax revenue.

Moody’s Global Macroeconomic Model
- Generation of the climate risk scenarios relies on the Moody’s Analytics Global Macroeconomic Model hosted on the web-based platform Scenario Studio. The model forecasts more than 15,000+ time series across 100 countries, which collectively constitute more than 95% of global GDP. Model equations are specified based on economic theory, and they feature shock properties that are essential in scenario construction, including the creation of economic forecasts consistent with different climate change assumptions. The model captures both short-term business cycle dynamics and long-run trends. Short-term forecasts are determined by fluctuations in aggregate demand, whereas long-term forecasts are determined by an economy’s labor force and labor force productivity growth. The forecasting horizon has been extended to 2100 to accommodate the long-term nature of climate change scenarios. The model captures both the interconnectedness among economic regions and country-specific idiosyncrasies. The linkages among countries and regions are characterized by trade and financial flows. The cross-country linkages include the impact of global prices and exchange rates on economic performance. While the model structure is similar across countries, the framework allows for country-specific variations of key equations and for the inclusion of tailpipe equations for variables important for some countries (see Chart 4). The interconnectedness among regions allows for the capture of global and regional economic impacts of climate change scenarios. The key variables that are impacted by the block of climate transition risk equations are real imports via the effective domestic oil price; disposable income via the carbon dioxide tax revenue and indirectly via government expenditures; indirectly, the exchange rate and gross value added for industries. The GVA is one of the drivers of employment in 20 industries (see Chart 5).
MOODY’S ANALYTICS ECONOMIC PROJECTIONS CONSISTENT WITH NGFS SCENARIOS

NGFS climate scenarios

In June 2020, the Network for Greening the Financial System released its phase one climate risk scenarios. These scenarios focus on transition risk and do not feature acute physical risk. Also, they do not include geographic specificity on chronic physical risk. Instead, the NGFS publishes chronic physical risk costs according to three different global damage functions. The NGFS phase one scenarios feature three representative scenarios in which assumptions are varied in order to construct a distribution of economic costs (see Chart 6 for temperature pathways). The Orderly scenario is one of early policy action to transition the world to net zero CO2 emissions. The Disorderly scenario is one in which policy action is delayed yet implemented at a later date with greater intensity. In both the Orderly and Disorderly scenarios, the global temperature increase is no greater than the Paris target of 2°C above pre-industrial levels. The third scenario, Hot House World, is one in which there is limited action to transition the world to a lower carbon economy. The global temperature increase exceeds the Paris target.

Moody’s Analytics uses its global macroeconomic model to create a full set of quarterly economic projections through 2100 consistent with the three NGFS scenarios. Following the NGFS recommendation, and for the sake of consistency across scenarios, we have decided to use the NGFS-provided output from the Regional Model for Investment and Development to construct climate risk scenarios aligned with the NGFS assumptions. The REMIND outputs published by the NGFS become inputs into our model used to assess the macroeconomic cost of transition risk.

Chart 7 summarizes the adopted process to produce scenarios consistent with NGFS. We match energy consumption translated into fuel emissions by source. For the physical risk, we apply the Moody’s Analytics approach including the assumptions with respect to projections of population and
GDP. For transition risk, we have opted to match energy consumption and emissions, as they are well-defined, while the GDP paths published by NGFS depend on assumptions with respect to population and other variables that are inconsistent with ours. We also use the NGFS carbon price trajectories and our model to produce forecasts for domestic energy prices, which simultaneously interact with other model variables to produce our full set of macroeconomic forecasts. The ranking of our GDP paths is similar to those of the NGFS, though there are differences in absolute levels. The end product is a set of macroeconomic scenarios consistent with NGFS assumptions on fossil fuel usage, carbon emissions, and carbon prices.

Charts 8 and 9 summarize the U.K. and U.S. carbon tax rate until 2100 for the three NGFS representative scenarios. In the Orderly scenario, the carbon tax is put into effect starting in the third quarter of 2021, and the carbon tax rate rises over time with the increase significantly intensifying in the second half of the century. In the Disorderly scenario, the carbon tax is not implemented until the first quarter of 2030, and because of the late start, the carbon tax rate needs to be higher than the immediate scenario in order to make up for the lost time. In the Hot House World scenario, the carbon tax rate is zero since no additional future action is taken to mitigate climate risks.

The carbon tax will raise the effective domestic energy prices of fossil fuels tremendously. Charts 10 and 11 show that prior to 2030, energy prices for U.K. coal and U.S. natural gas in the Disorderly scenario are the same as in the Hot House World scenario, but they will rise rapidly and exceed the Orderly scenario starting in 2030. As a result of the very large carbon tax rate imposed in the Or-
derly and Disorderly scenarios, fossil fuels consumption will fall dramatically. Chart 12 shows that U.K. coal consumption will be driven to near zero in the Orderly and Disorderly scenarios, and without the carbon tax, U.K. coal consumption will continue its long-term decline but will not fall to zero by 2100 in the Hot House World scenario. In the U.S., natural gas consumption is projected to rise steadily in the Hot House World scenario, but in the Orderly and Disorderly scenarios it is projected to decline by over 50% in 2100 (see Chart 13).

Granular economic projection examples

Moody’s Analytics generates country-level economic scenarios, whereas NGFS/REMIND issues forecasts for 17 geographic units. Some of those geographic units are countries, and for those countries we use country-provided inputs to construct economic scenarios. When a country-level forecast is not provided by REMIND, we take the growth rates of the region that country resides in and extrapolate those growth rates at the country level.

After calibrating to the NGFS carbon tax and fossil fuels consumption pathway, and adjusting for the effects of chronic physical risk for the U.K. and the U.S., we use the Moody’s Analytics Global Macro Model to generate the full scenario pathway for the U.K., U.S., and the rest of the global economy. Since the U.K. is not a separate region in the NGFS scenarios, we calibrate the U.K. using the carbon tax rate and fossil fuels consumption pathway for the EU as a proxy. The scenario outputs are the entire suite of economic and financial variables currently in the Moody’s Analytics Global Macro Model universe. Charts 14 and 15 show the projected percentage loss in real GDP between scenarios. Since the impacts of chronic physical risk are small and almost negligible for the U.K. and the U.S., losses in real GDP for these two countries are mostly due to transition risk alone.

Loss of GDP at the aggregate level may give a false impression of the full impact from transition risk for individual industries. In transitioning to a low carbon economy, there needs to be a substantial reallocation of resources, and the inflation pressures from energy prices will affect industries and sectors differently. High-risk industries such as mining and utilities will be hit much harder than low-risk industries such as professional services. The U.S. mining industry is projected to experience a nearly 40% reduction in employment in both the Orderly and Disorderly scenarios (see Chart 16).

A key feature of the Moody’s Analytics approach is generation of the full set of standard macrofinancial variables in addition to the transition drivers. An example is term structure of interest rate in the U.K. (see Chart 17).
Climate risk adjusted credit risk

The climate change scenarios are used as input and the first step in the credit risk assessment of portfolios of financial institutions. They are further combined with facility-level data such as the previously discussed physical risk scores by 427 (see Chart 18). The data requirements are similar to standard stress-testing and/or IFRS 9/CECL type calculations. These data inputs are used to generate projections of risk parameters such as probability of default, loss given default, and the corresponding expected credit losses. The analysis of instrument-level performance based on the portfolio data snapshots, combined with the facility-level climate risk score from 427, will result in adjustment factors for PDs and LGDs to account for the climate change risk. The output includes a variety of instrument-level metrics combined with the adjustment factors.

ESG scores and climate risk

For corporate portfolios, assessment from the perspective of environmental, social and governance factors needs to be combined with climate change risk assessment. In addition to the climate change projections, the ESG rating is required as well. Here we leverage on ESG ratings produced by Vigeo Eiris, acquired recently by Moody’s Corporation. VE evaluates the efforts of corporates to pursue a sustainable business. It relies on the attribution of scores (from 0 to 100) relative to 38 environmental, social and governance criteria. VE rates some 5,000+ companies (to be expanded to 10,000+ in 2021), and we use an ESG score predictor for over 100,000 small and medium-sized enterprises. Chart 19 illustrates how climate risk sensitivities are used jointly with ESG drivers to assess the impact on credit risk.
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