



## Article

NOVEMBER 2018

By Todd Classen, Anju Govil

# Workflow: The Key to Efficient Commercial Loan Origination

Today's loan origination landscape is forcing lenders to rethink their workflow engines to adapt to the new environment. Without a strategic approach to designing the workflow engine, lenders will find themselves battling rising costs and inefficiencies in an increasingly fragmented and competitive marketplace.

## A Complex Process

Loan origination typically begins with a bank setting up the borrowing entity in the origination software and ends with the loan being either approved or rejected. Throughout this process, multiple teams from different business units, sometimes located in different offices or cities, need to get involved on a selective basis to do specific tasks.

This operational complexity makes it critically important for lenders to come up with a solution that makes the commercial loan origination process efficient and helps them reach decisions faster. A workflow engine can help community banks achieve this goal by providing a “surge capacity” to get loan applications processed quickly and efficiently.

Tracking credit administration, recording exceptions, approving deals, mitigating risk, and monitoring covenants – if done in one system – could empower lenders to accomplish cumbersome lending processes without duplication of effort within 15 to 20 minutes of approval by the loan committee.

Content loading...

Help is at Hand

Manual workflows are often slow, error-prone, and result in unhappy customers. At the other extreme are completely automated, rules-based processes that are too inflexible to handle exceptions on the fly.

A practical solution is one that combines the best of automation, rule-based efficiencies, and judgment logic. It is a kit with defined roles, responsibilities, and best practices to improve interaction and alignment among teams involved in the loan origination process, without creating extra work for the relationship managers, credit analysts, or approvers.

The availability of templates, step-by-step checklists, and detailed guidelines takes away some variability in performance at an individual level. Not only does this keep everyone in the organization on the same page, it also lends critical consistency to a process composed of multiple tasks and subtasks.

Pre-population of data where possible and reusable workflow components save time while leveraging existing

resources. Widgets that allow segmentation of users and tailor tasks to suit the characteristics of each segment ensure unique treatment of each deal instead of a cookie-cutter approach.

Flexibility is Key

Among these lofty goals, flexibility emerges as one of the most decisive factors for evaluating a workflow for loan origination. It is easy to see why. A workflow module offers visibility from deal initiation to loan document generation, and lets participants track hand-offs at each stage of the origination process.

The complexity of the origination process makes it almost impossible to identify all the action steps and chart a complete execution path before a deal. It may make little sense to have a set path of sequential tasks during client negotiation, where the outcome is uncertain and must be negotiated.

A flexible workflow incorporates changing deal dynamics as the participants respond to new information and builds an execution path in real time. In other words, the deal participants should have the option to perform the tasks in more than one order along with the freedom to choose the optimal path.

One example of process complexity can be seen in a bank’s approval methods. In some cases, there are several approval levels – electronic signatures, regional approvals, and the loan committee review of more complex products. To capture quantifiable efficiency gains, as the loan committee reviews, approves, and advances the deal within the same workflow, loan officers should receive and view the reports from their desks.

In contrast is the situation where part of this chain is stored in the core system and available to relevant officers only as a print-out. Further gains are contributed by a workflow engine that allows the approval process to cycle back at any stage to revise work previously performed, as many times as needed.

Data Integrity and Transparency

Another aspect of flexibility is adapting out-of-the-box workflow templates to support change by executing exceptions or tasks to new specifications during runtime. Participants should be able to add more or parallel steps

to accommodate new requirements without the need to terminate the existing workflow and start a new one.

Flexibility also requires that the workflow offer integration with legacy and other core systems. From both a regulatory and business perspective, it is important to demonstrate the integrity and transparency of data.

Clearly the data and the process flow must be separated in a workflow, so that both can be carried out without compromising the tracking of each. As lenders see what deals are still Works In Progress (WIP) and what is causing the bottleneck, they can monitor the flow of the deal and approval process while maintaining data integrity.

The right workflow captures data at all critical points of a deal, offering visibility into required information in real time, and in some cases a deal view too. The data captured in the system can then be leveraged to enhance decision support. For example, approvers can see what changes have been made and what impact they will have on key metrics – all in one place.

#### Fostering Collaboration

Data also helps assess the performance of teams to enable fast action to enhance performance and contain costs. Better capacity planning translates into faster turnarounds and much more responsiveness. This approach helps groups such as spreading teams better manage their SLAs and deliverables while offering more visibility to their stakeholders. Some easy wins can be achieved by recognizing the timeliness of product schedules and where resources fell short.

Leveraging the lender's internal credit policy helps the workflow generate tasks which can drive the process of deal structuring and monitoring in a collaborative manner. Most banks face the challenge of working with distributed resources while following bank-wide policies to minimize business risk.

The objective of working successfully with different teams and remote individuals could easily be achieved through a task creation tool that can oversee interdependencies among tasks, task delegation, and task management.

---

The right workflow solution often triggers a bank-wide initiative to redesign its organizational structure and align internal processes.

---

As challenging as collaboration among these disparate teams is, still more complex is the process of integrating tasks and sub-tasks to contribute to the completion of the deal. A configurable workflow solution with intuitive user interfaces empowers staff to:

- » Route tasks to the right team member
- » Revoke these tasks in case the designated team member is unavailable
- » Reassign tasks to a suitable team member who is available

In this dynamic collaboration model, teams can see for themselves what's new in the workflow, without getting 50 emails about a project.

#### Communication and Alerts

Communication tools such as shared documents, comments, and notes within a workflow further aid in this collaborative process. The ability to upload documents from within or outside the workflow, including copies of tax forms, accountant-prepared statements, or signed applications – and to converse with fellow team members at a general workflow level as well as at the level of a specific task – lends personal proximity to virtual collaboration. Lending staff can now easily compile supporting documents in a configurable credit presentation to realize greater efficiencies in the approval process.

Another useful collaboration tool is automatic notifications for both critical and non-critical changes being made to the deal by other participants. Triggered by certain events within a workflow, these alerts sometimes serve as validation for ongoing tasks or reminders to perform tasks.

## Putting It All Together

---

The benefits of workflow are measured not only in terms of faster execution of processes but also in being able to track, monitor, and record these tasks to meet review, reporting, or regulatory mandates at various levels.

- » Tracking actions within the workflow with an accurate date/time stamp
- » Logging of content modification and access history
- » Documenting data changes as the deal progresses toward completion

An audit trail tells a clear story about how a loan was approved or what systemic risk the bank is taking, while a workflow report will indicate the degree of completion of the deal.

As the workflow moves across stages of a loan lifecycle, however, constraints must be enforced by the system in the shape of validations to ensure that completed tasks have been assessed and found to be sufficient to move on to the next task.

In addition, the lender should be able to grant different levels of security to users and configure them with ease. Free and unfettered collaboration requires a system that can apply role-based access control while also providing discretionary delegation of workflow tasks and rights. In other words, the user access management (UAM) system should offer automated support for delegating tasks

and freedom to modify this task allocation based on well defined, enforceable access rights.

Associating individual users or groups of users with well-defined roles lays a firm foundation for effective user access management. Such a system protects both access and data so that no unauthorized person can tamper with the data of an entity.

## Benefits of Origination Workflow

Today's loan origination landscape – characterized by low rates, high competition, new technologies, and the emergence of entirely new players – is forcing lenders to rethink their workflow engines to adapt to the new environment. Without a strategic approach to designing the workflow engine, lenders will find themselves battling rising costs and inefficiencies in an increasingly fragmented and competitive marketplace.

Capturing efficiency gains, even as volume continues to grow rapidly, could deliver faster loan throughput and “time to cash.” And as lenders strive to offer a consistent, well-orchestrated user experience with faster turnaround times, an automated credit application could greatly simplify the cumbersome underwriting process.

© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.