

Article

Published in:

The Record – Spring 2019

Author

Trevor Howes, FSA, FCIA, MAAA

Director, Actuary

Contact Us

Americas
+1.212.553.1653

Europe
+44.20.7772.5454

Asia-Pacific
+852.3551.3077

Japan
+81.3.5408.4100

The technology implications of IFRS 17

The IFRS 17 standard, which was published in May 2017 after more than a decade of discussion and debate, will dramatically change the financial reporting processes of insurers. Yet many insurers are still wrestling with the challenges of its implementation.

While the accounting principles described in the standard are understood, there is still uncertainty about the best path to implement the optimal solution, since the circumstances of each insurer are very different. The uncertainty arises in part because the standard sets out principles, leaving some choices about specific methodology. However, the essential challenge of IFRS 17 is that it requires a totally new approach to the derivation of the core elements of financial statements and supporting disclosures. Insurers everywhere must develop new processes and systems solutions to manage the demands of preparing the numbers for new financial statements and disclosures. In addition, insurers must understand the potential impact on IFRS 17 financial results under various product types and experience scenarios.

Two new elements in IFRS 17 financials are the Insurance Contract Liabilities in the balance sheet, and the Insurance Service Revenue within the new statement of profit and loss. The calculation and data assembly processes that must be created to support these key lines, requiring a novel and complex combination of actuarial system calculations integrated with accounting data accumulated over the reporting period. These new processes must be performed by contract group, a new level of data granularity that sits midway between the policy contracts and the business portfolio in which the contracts are managed. Many companies require thousands of these groups due to the criteria for definition within the standard.

The result is not only a systems development challenge, but an operational challenge. New actuarial processes will generate large amounts of data, which must then be combined with accounting results and inspected, analyzed, validated and approved within narrow reporting windows.

It's without question a mammoth challenge, but one that can be met through new technology such as Moody's Analytics solution for IFRS 17, combined with the benefits of public cloud services from Microsoft.

There are two fundamental characteristics of cloud-based solutions which present significant advantages under the challenges of IFRS 17. Perhaps the most obvious advantage is the scalability of a cloud-based infrastructure such as Microsoft Azure. With conventional fixed infrastructures, insurers need the ability to estimate computational and data storage loads accurately, considering the concentration of this computational load at quarterly financial reporting windows becomes essential. A cloud solution that can quickly and efficiently scale to meet actual demand, with little or no residual infrastructure costs after the reporting load is over, presents tremendous advantages.

This scalability has tremendous potential advantage to actuarial modeling systems such as the AXIS™ actuarial system from Moody's Analytics, which makes up the first component of its total IFRS 17 solution. Actuarial systems' workloads are expected to increase by an order of magnitude based on the new calculations required to support current period reporting challenges. The cloud infrastructure required to manage these computations can be scaled up quickly on demand in Azure and then released upon completion.

What's more, the costs of the infrastructure and the total actuarial processing time are greatly reduced in an efficient cloud-based solution compared to the costs implied by a fixed-size on-premises or leased grid that could manage the calculations within the available window.

The cloud also presents distinct advantages within the second major solution component under IFRS 17. This processing step combines the massive output of the actuarial models with accounting data at the group level, and in the end delivers the detailed journal postings which feed the companies' general ledger systems.

The scalability of cloud infrastructure is an advantage in this step with the large amounts of data being imported, processed, and stored when IFRS 17 is fully implemented. Delivering this solution using a software-as-a-service (SaaS) model offers more convenience to end users, considering that the new solution software is still being developed and tested.

The uncertainty about the standard, teamed with the immaturity of the solutions, means there will be a maturation process leading up to the effective date and for some years after. The maturation, and the need to support simultaneous updates of solution software for all clients, can be managed more smoothly by vendors adopting a SaaS approach. Using a SaaS approach, they have total control of the IT infrastructure and their solutions are effectively insulated by a consistent, customized environment that vendors manage. This allows them to inject new versions of their software into these cloud environments simultaneously for many clients when the software is ready and removes the complications of simultaneously supporting current systems and other enterprise applications sharing fixed resources.

Actuarial and accounting software embedded in production financial reporting processes has not been commonly based in the cloud to this date. However, it seems likely the rapid development of massive cloud resources can be put to good use for the new IFRS 17 reporting solutions.

CONTACT DETAILS

Visit us at [moodyanalytics.com](https://www.moodyanalytics.com) or contact us at a location below.

AMERICAS

+1.212.553.1653

clientservices@moody.com

EMEA

+44.20.7772.5454

clientservices.emea@moody.com

ASIA (EXCLUDING JAPAN)

+852.3551.3077

clientservices.asia@moody.com

JAPAN

+81.3.5408.4100

clientservices.japan@moody.com

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.