

**ECONOMIC ANALYSIS**  
APRIL 2019

**PREPARED BY**

Alfredo Coutiño  
[alfredo.coutino@moodys.com](mailto:alfredo.coutino@moodys.com)  
Director of Latin American  
Economic Research

**CONTACT US**

[help@economy.com](mailto:help@economy.com)

**U.S./Canada**  
+1.866.275.3266

**EMEA**  
+44.20.7772.5454 (London)  
+420.224.222.929 (Prague)

**Asia/Pacific**  
+852.3551.3077

**All Others**  
+1.610.235.5299

[economy.com](http://economy.com)  
[moodysanalytics.com](http://moodysanalytics.com)

# The Economic Costs of Closing the U.S.-Mexico Border

Supply chains and cross-border transactions could be damaged.

*Once again, President Trump has threatened to close the border with Mexico to stop illegal immigrants. However, closing the Southern border not only would not stop immigration but would cause significant damage to the U.S. economy and well-being, although Mexico would still be the most affected country.*

*DISCLAIMER: Research authored by Moody's Analytics does not reflect the opinions of Moody's Investors Service, the credit rating agency. To avoid confusion, please use the full company name "Moody's Analytics", when citing views from Moody's Analytics.*

## On the Economic Costs of Closing the U.S.-Mexico Border

- » *Shutting down the border would cause significant disruption in supply chains and cross-border transactions.*
- » *It will not stop illegal immigration, because illegal migrants do not use the official ports of entry.*
- » *Shortages of products would have potential to generate inflation and negative effects on employment in the U.S.*
- » *Given the size of both economies and markets, Mexico would suffer the greatest impact.*

### THE ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES

For a second time in the past five months, President Trump has threatened to close the border with Mexico to stop illegal immigrants from crossing into U.S. territory. This action not only would not stop immigration but would cause significant damage to the U.S. economy and well-being. Mexico would still be the most affected party.

Once again, President Trump has threatened a closing of the southern border, or a large part of it, to force Mexico to stop the increasing groups of immigrants coming to the U.S. But such a closing seems mostly directed at shutting down ports of entry. Doing so would cause significant disruption in supply chains and cross-border transactions, but it will not stop illegal immigration, because illegal migrants do not use the official ports of entry.

One of the most immediate negative effects would be on U.S. consumers' pockets as well as their diets and health. Almost a fourth of U.S. agricultural imports come from Mexico. A border closure would impose a significant disruption in the supply of produce into the U.S. The worst would be suffered by consumers in terms of their diets of vegetables and fruits and the consequent price increases generated by the shortage. Two-thirds of U.S. imports of vegetables and fruits come from Mexico, and avocados in particular have the potential to become the new green gold in terms of prices.

The annual value of goods traded between the U.S. and Mexico through the main southern ports of entry is about \$450 billion, representing 37% of Mexico's nominal GDP and a little more than 2% of U.S. nominal GDP. Though this indicates that Mexico will be the most affected country, the U.S. will not escape a significant disruption in the supply chain, with an important cost to the economy. The biggest damage would be done to businesses along the border that are highly dependent on cross-border transactions.

Also, shutting down the border will not stop immigration—since migrants will search for alternative ways to enter the U.S.—but it would certainly have economic consequences for both countries. Given the economic suffering by cross-border businesses during the partial closure last November, new voices are clamoring to stop the threat and avoid an even larger economic chaos and nightmare.

The first time that President Trump threatened and actually closed the U.S. border for a few hours was last November, in an attempt to stop Central American migrant caravans from entering the U.S. The measure did not stop Central American migrants to continue traveling north, but it produced an economic cost for the border region in both countries.

Mexico and the U.S. share a border of almost 2,000 miles, with a population of about 15 million along the border that is divided equally between north and south. The two countries had bilateral trade of around \$1.5 billion per day in 2017 and \$1.7 billion daily in 2018 despite U.S. restrictions on Mexican products. Industries along the border with strong bilateral trade are mainly electronics, automotive and parts, manufacturing, and retail commerce and tourism.

The shared border comprises four U.S. states (California, Arizona, New Mexico and Texas) and six Mexico states (Baja California, Sonora, Chihuahua, Coahuila, Nuevo León and Tamaulipas). Among these 10 states are 14 main ports of entry handling a flow of trade of around \$450 billion between the U.S. and Mexico. Mexico is the number one market for all four U.S. border states in terms of their exports.

According to the Wilson Center, around 465,000 people enter the U.S. each day through ports of entry along the southern border. The economic impact would depend on the duration of the border closure but could very well amount to billions of dollars. According to news reports, the temporary closing of California's main port of entry, at Otay Mesa, on the last Sunday of November, 2018 caused long delays for people and trucks and paralyzed traffic on both sides of the border. Waiting time stretched to five hours from a normal crossing time of half an hour. After the 9/11 terrorist attacks, reinforced security measures along the border caused crossing delays of 12 to 18 hours.

The disruption in the supply chain coupled with shortages of products imported to the U.S. from Mexico (electronics, produce, auto parts, etc.) would also have potential to generate inflation pressures as well as some negative effects on employment. In the end, shutting down the border will not stop immigration, but it would certainly have economic consequences on both sides of the border.

## ABOUT THE AUTHOR

*Alfredo Coutiño is a Director with Moody's Analytics, responsible for analysis, modeling and forecasting for Latin America as well as real-time coverage of the macro economies. He is frequently quoted in media and industry publications and has been a speaker at United Nations conferences and at the American Economic Association. Alfredo has published papers on applied econometrics with Nobel laureate Lawrence Klein. Dr. Coutiño received his PhD in economics from the University of Madrid after completing doctoral studies at Temple University.*

*Moody's Analytics Global Economic Forecasts With Alternative Scenarios are the foundation of stress testing, regulatory compliance, and "what if?" analysis. Our scenarios are produced by a team of more than 80 economists and 20 data specialists located around the world and enable clients—banks, corporations, governments and regulators—to test the impact of shocks and differing economic assumptions on their businesses and portfolios. Contact [help@economy.com](mailto:help@economy.com) for more information.*

© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved. CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT. All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications. To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S. To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information. NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER. Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy." Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser. Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively. MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.